

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,586	EXTRAND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James F. Hook	3754	

All Participants:

(1) James F. Hook.

(2) Mr. Bradley Thorson (applicant's rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JAMES HOOK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed the paper requesting that the filing receipt be changed to include application 10/454,742, which had no suggestion in which manner the instant application was related to the '742 application. Applicant's representative requested that the instant application be corrected such that there is no claim of benefit to the '742, merely just an incorporation by reference. The instant application specification will be corrected to delete the language suggesting the benefit claim, and the bibliographic data sheet will be corrected to remove the '742 from any claim for priority by examiners amendment..